

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	·	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/036,919		12/21/2001	Michael T. Heffelfinger	10122A	5063
23455	7590	10/21/2003		EXAMINER	
EXXONN P O BOX 2		CHEMICAL CON	CHEN, VIVIAN		
		77522-2149		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1773	
				DATE MAILED: 10/21/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			8				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Commons	10/036,919	HEFFELFINGER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	The MAIL INC DATE of this communication and	Vivian Chen	1773				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE N - Exter after: - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A	August 2003 .					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
•	Claim(s) <u>20-37</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n	•				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	in from consideration.	·				
	Claim(s) <u>20-37</u> is/are rejected.		•				
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election requirement.					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No				
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ol>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment	(s)						
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Tra	demark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/036,919 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-19 have been cancelled by Applicant.

## Specification

2. The amendment filed 8/11/2003 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: the newly added claim limitations with respect to the recited ratios of modulus values, elongation at break, and tensile strength.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 20-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention for the reasons stated above in the objection under 35 U.S.C. 132 to the amendment

Art Unit: 1773

filed 8/11/203. The specification as originally filed fails to provide adequate support for specific ratios between modulus values, elongation at break, and tensile strength in the transverse and machine directions.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 20-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 00/54968 (WO '968).

WO '968 discloses a coextruded, biaxially oriented film comprising the recited core layer comprising syndiotactic polypropylene and at least one additional layers on at least one surface of the core layer, wherein the film may comprise a five layer structure with two additional layers on each side of the core layer, and wherein the additional layers are isotactic polypropylene or other polymers as recited in claims 1, 4-6, 10, 13, 16-19 and optionally contains silica and/or alicylic hydrocarbon (claims 8-9). The film further comprises the recited coating layers (claim 7) and wherein the films have modulus, elongation at break, and tensile strength ratios that fall within the range recited in the claims. (WO '968; line 20, page 1 to line 11, page 2; page 4-7; Examples)

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize conventional heat-setting methods to minimize film shrinkage. It also would have been obvious to adjust the heat treatment parameters in order to obtain the dimensional stability (claims 1-3, 11-15) required by specific usage conditions.

# Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 8/11/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- (A) Applicant argues that the newly added claim limitations with respect to the ratios of various mechanical properties in the transverse and machine directions of the film are supported by the specification as originally filed. However, while the films of the Examples have ratio values which fall within the ranges recited in present claims, the specification as originally filed does *not* provide adequate support for the *concept* of films having particular ratios of various mechanical properties in the transverse and machine directions. Similarly, the parent application 09/271,226 does not provide adequate support for the actual concept of films having particular ratios of various mechanical properties in the transverse and machine directions; therefore, the present claims have an effective priority date of 12/21/2001.

### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 10/036,919 Page 5

Art Unit: 1773

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vivian Chen whose telephone number is (703) 305-3551. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Thibodeau, can be reached on (703) 308-2367. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

October 16, 2003

Vivian Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773